

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/600,524 | 06/23/2003 | Ming-Dou Ker | 0941-0759P | 8633 |
| 2292 | 7590 10/20/2005 | | EXAMINER | |
| | EWART KOLASCH & | ABRAHAM, FETSUM | | |
| PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | , | | 2826 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 10/20/2005 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|---|---|-------|--|--|--|
| | 10/600,524 | KER ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Fetsum Abraham | 2826 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim Till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | I. ely filed the mailing date of this communic (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | · | | | | |
| 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 Ju | IV 2005. | | | | | |
| | action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowan | ice except for formal matters, pro | secution as to the merit | ts is | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E | - | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-93</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw | vn from consideration | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) 1-93 are subject to restriction and/or e | 8) Claim(s) 1-93 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | |
| 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | aminer. Note the attached Office | Action or form PTO-152 | 2. | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | -(d) or (f). | | | | |
| | | an Na | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior | | | | | | |
| · | • | d in this National Stage | • | | | |
| | application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | |
| | | | • | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary | | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa | te atent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 6) Other: | ., | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Examiner's comment

The last two actions were made in order to clarify claim language and the drawings associated with the claimed invention. The changes made to claim language has now been accepted and the application is ready for complete examination. However, restriction was necessary so that a single species can be claimed for examination.

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species 1: An ESD protection device having an isolation region, first and second gates, first and second doping regions, first doping region having a first discontinuity region.

Species 2: An ESD protection device having an isolation region, first and second gates, first and second doping regions, second doping region having a first discontinuity region.

Species 3: An ESD protection device having an isolation region, <u>first gate only</u>, first and second doping regions, first doping region having a first discontinuity region.

Species 4: An ESD protection device having an isolation region, <u>first gate only</u>, first and second doping regions, <u>second doping region having a first discontinuity</u> region.

Application/Control Number: 10/600,524

Art Unit: 2826

Species 5: An ESD protection device having an isolation region, <u>first gate only</u>, first and second doping regions, and <u>the isolation region protruding into the first doping region only near the first end of the first gate.</u>

Species 6: An ESD protection device having an isolation region, <u>first gate only</u>, first and second doping regions, and <u>the isolation region protruding into the second</u>

<u>doping region only near the first end of the first gate.</u>

Species 7: An ESD protection device having an isolation region, <u>first gate only</u>, first and second doping regions, and <u>the isolation region has a first portion under the first end of the first gate protruding into both the first and second doping regions.</u>

Species 8: An ESD protection device having an isolation region, <u>first gate only</u>, first and second doping regions, and <u>a third doping region under the first and the second regions near the first end if the first gate, having a doping concentration lower than that of the first and the second doping regions.</u>

Species 10: An ESD protection device having an isolation region, <u>first gate only</u>, first and second doping regions, and <u>the first gate protruding into the first doping region</u> having a defined width in relation to other parts of structure.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim

Art Unit: 2826

is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fetsum Abraham whose telephone number is 703-305-3793. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Flynn Nathan can be reached on 703-308-6601. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/600,524

Art Unit: 2826

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Page 5

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

etsum Abraham

10/15/05